

means to effectuate that end. The compliance rate, Peter Hoagland tells us, will go up from 15 to 60 percent. That means 40 percent ignoring the law. If we write more and more laws in which we simply hope to get 60 percent compliance, that compliance rate will go down because we don't really mean it. All we're hoping for is a good guideline, something that will, through the use of a law, promote a private behavior and we pat ourselves on the back and say, 60 percent compliance is good enough...

PRESIDENT: Time is expired.

SENATOR LANDIS: I will renew my light. This is not an issue that I'm done talking about. I have a little more exposition of argument I'd like to get through and then I will be quiet on this issue for the rest of its legislative career.

PRESIDENT: Thank you, Senator. Senator Morehead.

SENATOR MOREHEAD: Mr. President and members of the body, in again addressing Senator Chambers' motion to return for amendment, the amendment, I'll refresh your mind, is dealing with exemption of the rural letter carriers. We try on issues in here for the most part, all 49 of us, to be considerate of all people involved in the process of law making. Now Senator Lamb may take some exception to that at this point, but nevertheless, we do. Rural letter carriers are being exempted because in the rural areas of Nebraska the letter carriers drive their own vehicles. Now in Omaha and in Lincoln where they can afford department vehicles those vehicles are designed for proper delivery and those letter carriers do stay buckled in, but in consideration of our rural letter carriers we exempt these people, consideration, because they are using their own vehicles and it would be very definitely an inconvenience or an additional expense for them to have to do this. Now inconvenience, yes. We have said that if they meet the guidelines of the postal department, the U.S. Postal Department, the federal employees, U.S. Postal Department has said we only ask those rural mail carriers to be buckled up until their first point of delivery and after their last. I think that's a fair adjustment. I think it is fair to exempt them and still ask that they meet the guidelines of the U.S. Postal Department. I do not think that this should be returned to exempt or to require them any further. It